

INTRODUCTION • Soft contact lenses are among the most prescribed contact lenses worldwide, accounting for 74% of new fits and 81% of refits in the United States in 2021¹ • Daily disposable contact lenses are extensively prescribed by eye care professionals, which offer several advantages such as hygiene, convenience, compliance, and lower rates of corneal infiltrative events^{2,3} • Silicone hydrogel contact lenses account for 74% of all soft contact lenses globally¹ • Verofilcon A toric lens is a novel silicone hydrogel contact lens consisting of a material (verofilcon A) that combines high oxygen transmissibility with a low modulus of elasticity • Verofilcon A toric lens is intended for the optical correction of astigmatism in subjects with healthy eyes with or without refractive ametropia **PURPOSE**: To obtain on-eye clinical performance data and assess the characteristics of Ø contact lens alignment and fit of a novel daily disposable toric silicone hydrogel contact lens (verofilcon A) for astigmatism **METHODS** Study design • A prospective, open-label, single-arm, bilateral, multicenter, non-dispense clinical study conducted across five clinical practice sites in the United States (NCT04464044) • Eligible subjects with healthy eyes were exposed to study lenses for approximately 1 hour Study period **Total subjects** N=39 (78 eyes) 20 July 2020 – 6 August 2020 Key eligibility criteria **Inclusion criteria Exclusion criteria** • Age ≥18 years Habitual contact lens wearers in an extended • Habitual wearers of spherical or wear modality (sleeping with lenses for toric soft contact lenses for the ≥1 night/week) over last 3 months past 3 months, for ≥5 days/week History of ocular or intraocular surgery, including and ≥8 hours/day refractive surgery within the previous 12 months Best corrected distance visual Any anterior segment infection, inflammation or acuity of 20/25 or better in each disease (including systemic) eye, with a cylindrical correction Biomicroscopy findings at screening of \geq Grade 3, of -0.75 D or -1.25 D (at axis 90° corneal vascularization of \geq Grade 2, or any or 180°) and a sphere component of -1.00 D or -3.00 D infiltrates Study product • Subjects were fitted with verofilcon A toric lens (8.5 mm base curve and 14.5 mm diameter) • The following lens powers were available in this study: -1.00 / -1.25 x 90 -1.00 / -0.75 x 90 -1.00 / -0.75 x 180 -3.00 / -1.25 x 90 -3.00 / -0.75 x 90 -3.25 / -0.75 x 175 Effectiveness and safety measures Lens alignment: • Lens settling time: The amount of time taken (in seconds) for the scribe mark orientation to reach its resting (settled) position • Absolute axis orientation: Measured in degrees relative to the 6 o'clock position at 1, 2, 3, 5, and 10 minutes after lens insertion • Percentage of lenses with axis orientation within $\pm 20^{\circ}$, $\pm 10^{\circ}$, and $\pm 5^{\circ}$ from the intended axis (90°), after 1, 2, 3, 5, and 10 minutes following lens insertion • Lens oscillation with blink on a 4-point scale (none, <3°, 3° to 5°, and >5°) • Scribe mark visibility (0, not visible; 1, somewhat visible; 2, easily visible)

Lens fit characteristics:

- Lens movement (5-point scale: -2, unacceptably tight; -1, acceptably tight; 0, optimal fit; +1, acceptably loose; and +2, unacceptably loose)
- Lens position (3-point scale: 0, optimal centration; 1, acceptable decentration; and 2, unacceptable decentration)

References

1. Morgan PB, Woods CA, Tranoudis IG, et al. International contact lens prescribing in 2021. Cont Lens Spectrum. 2022;37:32-38. 2. Sulley A, Dumbleton K. Silicone hydrogel daily disposable benefits: The evidence. Cont Lens Anterior Eye. 2020;43:298-307. 3. Orsborn G, Dumbleton K. Eye care professionals' perceptions of the benefits of daily disposable silicone hydrogel contact lenses. Cont Lens Anterior Eye. 2019;42:373-379.

Clinical Performance of a Novel Toric Silicone Hydrogel Contact Lens





–1.00 / –1.25 x 180	
–3.00 / –1.25 x 175	

Figure 2. Distribution of lens oscillation with blink (n=78) 100 80 56.4 60 **n** 60 40 40 26.9 15.4 20 20 3–5° of $<3^{\circ}$ of >5° of Within $\pm 20^{\circ}$ Within $\pm 10^{\circ}$ Within $\pm 5^{\circ}$ movement movement movement movement

- At 10 minutes after lens insertion, the proportion of lenses orientating within ±20°, ±10°, and ±5° from the intended axis were 100%, 98.7%, and 89.7%, respectively (**Figure 1**) • At all time-points (1, 2, 3, 5, and 10 minutes post insertion), at least 94.9% of lenses were
- within $\pm 10^{\circ}$ from the intended orientation
- The majority of the lenses (98.7%) had $\leq 5^{\circ}$ of oscillation with blink (**Figure 2**)

Figure 3. Lens movement



Majority of the lenses achieved optimal fit (88.5%), while 11.5% had acceptably tight/loose fit (Figure 3)



The majority of lenses achieved optimal centration (97.4%), while 2.6% achieved acceptable decentration (Figure 4)

Abbreviations: D, diopter; SD, standard deviation Acknowledgement: Writing, editorial, and formatting assistance was provided by Janet Oommen, PharmD, from Indegene Pvt. Ltd. which was contracted and funded by Alcon.



• All lenses showed visible scribe marks; and were easily visible in 96.2% of the lenses



Safety measures:

• Adverse events, biomicroscopy findings, and device deficiencies

Statistical analysis

mean (SD)

- of White race (92.3%) (**Table 1**)
- The mean±SD lens settling time was 40.1±56.8 seconds
- 10 minutes)
- means ranging from 2.2° to 2.7°

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of subjects

Age (years), mean±SDAge group (years), n (%)18–64Sex, n (%)MaleFemaleRace, n (%)WhiteBlack or African AmericanAmerican Indian or Alaska NativeAsianNative Hawaiian or other Pacific IslanderOtherEthnicity, n (%)Hispanic or Latino	34.1 ± 10.8 39 (100) 13 (33.3) 26 (66.7) 36 (92.3) 1 (2.6) 0 (0.0) 1 (2.6) 0 (0.0) 1 (2.6)
Age group (years), n (%)18–64Sex, n (%)MaleFemaleRace, n (%)WhiteBlack or African AmericanAmerican Indian or Alaska NativeAsianNative Hawaiian or other Pacific IslanderOtherEthnicity, n (%)Hispanic or Latino	39 (100) $13 (33.3)$ $26 (66.7)$ $36 (92.3)$ $1 (2.6)$ $0 (0.0)$ $1 (2.6)$ $0 (0.0)$ $1 (2.6)$
18-64Sex, n (%)MaleMaleFemaleRace, n (%)WhiteBlack or African AmericanAmerican Indian or Alaska NativeAsianNative Hawaiian or other Pacific IslanderOtherEthnicity, n (%)Hispanic or Latino	39 (100) $13 (33.3)$ $26 (66.7)$ $36 (92.3)$ $1 (2.6)$ $0 (0.0)$ $1 (2.6)$ $0 (0.0)$ $1 (2.6)$
Sex, n (%)MaleMaleFemaleFemaleRace, n (%)WhiteBlack or African AmericanAmerican Indian or Alaska NativeAsianAsianNative Hawaiian or other Pacific IslanderOtherEthnicity, n (%)Hispanic or Latino	$ \begin{array}{c} 13 (33.3) \\ 26 (66.7) \\ \hline 36 (92.3) \\ 1 (2.6) \\ \hline 0 (0.0) \\ 1 (2.6) \\ \hline 0 (0.0) \\ 1 (2.6) \\ \end{array} $
MaleFemaleRace, n (%)WhiteBlack or African AmericanAmerican Indian or Alaska NativeAsianNative Hawaiian or other Pacific IslanderOtherEthnicity, n (%)Hispanic or Latino	13 (33.3) 26 (66.7) 36 (92.3) 1 (2.6) 0 (0.0) 1 (2.6) 0 (0.0) 1 (2.6)
FemaleRace, n (%)WhiteWhiteBlack or African AmericanAmerican Indian or Alaska NativeAsianNative Hawaiian or other Pacific IslanderOtherEthnicity, n (%)Hispanic or Latino	26 (66.7) 36 (92.3) 1 (2.6) 0 (0.0) 1 (2.6) 0 (0.0) 1 (2.6)
Race, n (%)WhiteWhiteBlack or African AmericanAmerican Indian or Alaska NativeAsianNative Hawaiian or other Pacific IslanderOtherEthnicity, n (%)Hispanic or Latino	36 (92.3) 1 (2.6) 0 (0.0) 1 (2.6) 0 (0.0) 1 (2.6)
WhiteBlack or African AmericanAmerican Indian or Alaska NativeAsianNative Hawaiian or other Pacific IslanderOtherEthnicity, n (%)Hispanic or Latino	36 (92.3) 1 (2.6) 0 (0.0) 1 (2.6) 0 (0.0) 1 (2.6)
Black or African AmericanAmerican Indian or Alaska NativeAsianNative Hawaiian or other Pacific IslanderOtherEthnicity, n (%)Hispanic or Latino	1 (2.6) 0 (0.0) 1 (2.6) 0 (0.0) 1 (2.6)
American Indian or Alaska NativeAsianNative Hawaiian or other Pacific IslanderOtherEthnicity, n (%)Hispanic or Latino	0 (0.0) 1 (2.6) 0 (0.0) 1 (2.6)
Asian Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander Other Ethnicity, n (%) Hispanic or Latino	1 (2.6) 0 (0.0) 1 (2.6)
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander Other Ethnicity, n (%) Hispanic or Latino	0 (0.0) 1 (2.6)
Other Ethnicity, n (%) Hispanic or Latino	1 (2.6)
Ethnicity, n (%) Hispanic or Latino	
Hispanic or Latino	
	6 (15.4)
Not Hispanic or Latino	33 (84.6)
SD, standard deviation	
fety endpoints	
No adverse events, device deficiencies, or clinically relev	ant worsening of biomic
indings were reported	
CONCLUSION	
The novel daily disposable taria varafilean A contact land	showed ontimal alignm

Funding: This study was funded by Alcon Research, LLC.

with astigmatism.

Conflict of interest: Lakshman Subbaraman is employee of Alcon. Susan Whaley is a paid principal investigator for Alcon and Johnson and Johnson. Britt Gustafson and Bradley Giedd are clinical investigators for Alcon.

All statistical analyses were performed using SAS[®] software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) Categorical variables were summarized as counts and percentages, and continuous data as

RESULTS

• Overall, subjects had a mean age of 34.1 years, with 66.7% being female, and majority being

<u>.</u>

• Mean absolute axis orientation post lens insertion was <10° at all time points (1, 2, 3, 5, and

• The mean axis orientation was stable from 2 minutes to 10 minutes post insertion, with the