

Diagnostics for The Contact Lens Practitioner Workshop

John D. Gelles, OD

Shalu Pal, OD

Course Abstract:

This workshop will teach practitioners how to read and use a wide variety of diagnostic tools relevant to contact lens practice. It will focus on metrics for diagnosis and management of corneal-based treatments such as orthokeratology and corneal refractive surgery. Featured diagnostic technologies will include topography, tomography, and aberrometry.

Course Learning Objectives:

1. Understand metrics used to diagnose and monitor keratoconus and ectasia
2. Learn methods of corneal evaluation with multiple instruments
3. Learn how to apply these findings to relevant real-world situations with cases

Course Outline:

1. Why care?
 - a. Clinical Decisions
 - i. Corneal based treatment vs alternatives
 1. Myopia
 - a. Early signs?
 - i. Avoid corneal based therapy
 1. Ortho K alters anterior cornea, removes the ability to early dx with Placido topography
 - a. Alternative therapy
 - i. Atropine
 - ii. Soft MF
 - iii. Defocus spectacle lenses
 2. Follow up more frequently
 - a. Pediatric population
 - i. KC signs in younger population = more likely have severe disease
 2. Refractive surgery
 - a. Corneal based refractive surgery = LASIK/PRK
 - i. Early signs?
 1. Avoid corneal based surgery
 - a. Possibility of iatrogenic disease
 - b. Alternative surgical options
 - i. Lens-based refractive surgery
 - ii. Forgo elective surgery all together
 2. Follow up more frequently

- b. Prevalence
 - i. Prevalence studies
 - 1. Historical prevalence is 1:2000
 - a. Rabinowitz 1998
 - b. Kennedy et al 1986
 - 2. Most recently
 - a. Hashemi et. al in 2020 - Worldwide = 1:725
 - b. Godefrooij et. al in 2017 - Netherlands = 1:375
 - c. Papali'i-Curtin et. al in 2019 - New Zealand = 1:191
 - d. Chen et. al in 2020 - Australia = 1:84
 - 3. Increases in prevalence are related to improvements in diagnostic technology
 - ii. KC diagnosis is delayed
 - 1. Godefrooij et. al in 2017:
 - a. Mean dx at 28.3 y/o
 - i. Early signs missed
 - iii. US Military (Reynolds et. al 2020)
 - 1. 2001 to 2018
 - 2. KC is a disqualifier for military enrollment
 - a. 18 and older
 - 3. Reviewed incidence of KC development in an otherwise healthy population
 - 4. 1:1700
 - iv. Chicago School System KC Screening
 - 1. Started in 2016
 - 2. Tomography
 - 3. 2% positive for KC
- c. Genetics studies
 - i. Fransen E, et al 2021
 - 1. Candidate genes for KC & Ehlers-Danlos Syndrome genes
 - ii. Hardcastle AJ, et al 2021
 - 1. GWAS collagen matrix integrity and cell differentiation in KC
 - iii. Bykhovskaya Y, et al 2021
 - 1. Update on the genetics of KC

2. BOTTOM LINE:

- a. **UNDERSTANDING AND IMPLEMENTING DIAGNOSTICS IS IMPORTANT**
 - i. **THESE WILL AFFECT YOUR CLINICAL DECISIONS**
 - ii. **THESE AFFECT MORE THAN JUST KC**
 - iii. **KC IS MORE COMMON THAN YOU THINK**
- 3. Simple diagnostic factors to alert for the need for testing
 - a. Review of refraction study
 - i. Chung et al 2020
 - 1. Average axis orientation: oblique or ATR
 - 2. Cyl (refractive or K) > 1.5D should be worked up

- b. Review of retinoscopy study
 - i. Al-Mahrouqi et al 2019
 - 1. Compare retinoscopy to Pentacam BAD D-value findings ≥ 2.69 .
 - a. The results to assess the validity and reliability.
 - 2. Retinoscope is sensitive for detection even in early KC
- 4. Topography metrics
 - a. Anterior only
 - i. Rabinowitz et al
 - 1. K (Central and Apical) $> 47D$
 - 2. IS $> 1.4D$
 - 3. Skew (SRAX) $> 20deg$ if $> 1.5D$
 - a. Examples
 - b. Cases
 - i. KC or surface?
 - 1. Mires matter
 - ii. Is is KC?
 - c. Clinical pearl:
 - i. Symmetry is key!
 - ii. Look out for tear film
 - 1. Corneal staining
 - 2. Treat and repeat
- 5. Tomography metrics
 - a. Full corneal metrics
 - i. Motlagh et al
 - 1. Curvature (Axial Map)
 - a. Same metrics apply
 - 2. Elevation (Posterior > 20 Anterior > 15)
 - 3. Pachymetry (< 500)
 - a. Examples
 - ii. Li et al
 - 1. Epithelial doughnut pattern
 - a. Apical epithelial thinning and peripheral thickening
 - b. Max to min thickness: $\sim 20\mu m$
 - i. Examples
 - b. Cases
 - i. Elevations vs curves
 - ii. The KC backside
 - iii. Thickness gradient
 - c. Clinical pearl:
 - i. Topo rules apply to anterior maps
 - ii. Adds posterior surface and global pachymetry!
 - 1. Focal elevations
 - 2. Thickness gradients
- 6. Aberrometry metrics

- a. Full visual system
 - i. Li et al and Kosaki et al
 - ii. Higher-order aberrations
 - 1. Vertical COMA is the predominate aberration
 - a. Followed by Trefoil
 - iii. Normal vs Suspect vs KC
 - 1. <0.2 vs $\sim 0.2-0.3$ vs >0.3
 - a. Examples
 - b. Cases
 - i. The cornea or internal?
 - c. Clinical pearl:
 - i. Sensitive but nonspecific!!
 - ii. Coma and trefoil!
7. Combine testing
- a. Cases
 - i. Need it all to figure it out
 - b. Clinical pearl:
 - i. Combine for most accurate
 - ii. Think like glaucoma
 - 1. More findings = more risk
 - a. Follow up sooner
8. Photography
- a. Slit-lamp technique demonstration videos
 - i. Diffuse illumination
 - ii. Direct illumination
 - 1. Parallel
 - 2. Optic section
 - iii. Sclerotic Scatter
 - 1. Fiber optic affect
 - iv. Specular reflection
 - 1. Endothelial
 - v. Vital dye
 - 1. NaFl
 - a. Filter
 - i. Yellow and blue
 - 2. Lissamine
 - a. Filter
 - i. Red and green
 - vi. Anterior chamber assessment
 - vii. Retroillumination
9. Monitoring
- a. Worsening of the aforementioned metrics = progression
 - i. Refer for treatment with CXL
 - b. Suspicious or borderline findings?

- i. Think like glaucoma!!
 - 1. Complete corneal work up
 - 2. Avoid corneal based treatments
 - 3. Follow up frequently
 - a. Pediatric population: every 3 months
 - i. Progression = immediate treatment with CXL