Program Team: <u>Research to Policy</u>

Why such reports. We need simple ways to collect quick overviews of key things happening in each of the PTs. We can then better **communicate** and **advocate** for the wonderful breadth of activity that is happening across UC ANR. As some other PT leaders indicated, when they get money from industry or others to meet, a simple report on the meeting is the norm.

The report is to be **simple** and **post-event**. Suggestions for a better report structure most welcome.

- 1. Meeting objectives
 - Discuss how to navigate controversial issues in policy and community engagement within the context of academic freedom and UC ANR
 - Examine historical inequity in the land grant system via large group discussion and small group activities
 - Discuss R2P PT activities and objectives
- 2. Workgroups engaged: Diversified Food and Farming Systems PT (Joint meeting); Agritourism, Urban Agriculture, Agroecology, Small Farms workgroups
- 3. Primary meeting outcomes
 - Increased awareness and knowledge of challenges and strategies for effective public and engagement
 - Increased understanding of how to developing an inclusive and equitable society
 - Strengthened network of UC ANR professionals working on research to policy issues across program areas
- 4. Next steps
 - Pursue opportunities for joint workshops with Water PT (e.g., building conflict resolution skills, developing policy briefs, and other professional development opportunities)
 - Consider building expert/advisory groups to help members navigate challenges
 - Look into building a library/repository of successful research-to-policy case studies (e.g., using Project Board repots with research-to-policy tag)
- 5. How the PT activities fit with the larger SI picture (See table for reference).
 - R2P PT crosses all <u>SIs/Themes/Grand Challenges</u>, as evidenced by our active membership across diverse program areas.