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1. **Executive Summary**

Patient elopement is a high consequence event of which emergency medical services workers are well aware. Elopement is defined as ‘the departure of a patient from the scene at which the patient has refused to comply with established procedures for refusing care or treatment’. In addition when patients unexpectedly decide to remove themselves from either a stationary location or moving vehicle, there is considerable risk of injury to both the patient and the caregivers. The EMS literature contains a fair amount of information about the use of physical restraint and chemical sedation, as well as response to behavioral emergencies. However, there is very little information available to assist EMS personnel in mitigating risk in situations that involve patient elopement in the transport environment.

Quantification of the scope and severity of the problem in EMS is warranted. There may be a role for reporting these incidents to a patient safety organization (PSO). While we are only aware of one national PSO dedicated to emergency medical services, there are multiple PSO’s which provide services to hospitals. Currently, while many EMS practitioners can recite an anecdotal event involving elopement, there is no incidence data available regarding EMS patient elopement. Understanding the causes and consequences of elopement and exploring the issue in a white paper or by consensus group would assist EMS agencies in developing more informed policies and/or protocols to mitigate injury risk for both the patient and the caregivers. The development of educational tools that address the recognition of the problem, prevention strategies and methods for decreasing severity of the event if they do occur, would be useful for all EMS providers.

1. **Recommended Actions/Strategies:**

**National EMS Advisory Council**

Committee recommendations to the National EMS Advisory Council.

* This committee recommends that the National EMS Advisory Council explore whether the National EMS Information System (NEMSIS) could serve as a repository for reporting patient safety issues – possibly for other patient safety issues but to include elopement. This committee recommends that the National EMS Advisory Council determine whether it would be feasible to develop an “Administrative” data set within NEMSIS to assist with reporting of patient safety issues.

**National Highway Traffic Safety Administration**

The National EMS Advisory Council recommendations the National Highway Traffic

Safety Administration:

* Encourage EMS agencies to participate in a Patient Safety Organization as one means of documenting and addressing patient elopement events.
* Include “Elopement” as a data element for reporting patient disposition in the next NEMSIS modification.
* Convene an interdisciplinary expert group to develop a white paper or consensus document to recommend mitigation strategies and best practices, as well as educational materials, to address the issue of patient elopement in the out-of-hospital setting. The group should include, at a minimum, representatives of: regulatory agencies, behavioral health, hospitals, field providers, dispatch, law enforcement, the International Association of Healthcare Security and Safety; Risk and Safety Professionals and others as appropriate.
* Consider incorporating curriculum regarding elopement potential, communication and management into the National EMS Education Standards

**Other Department of Transportation**

National EMS Advisory Council recommendations for consideration by other administrations within the U.S. Department of Transportation, which shall be conveyed through NHTSA.

* None

**Federal Interagency Committee on Emergency Medical Services**

National EMS Advisory Council recommendations for consideration by the Federal Interagency Committee on EMS, which shall be conveyed through NHTSA as the FICEMS Executive Secretariat.

* None

1. **Scope and Definition**

Elopement is defined as the departure of a patient from the scene at which the patient has refused to comply with established procedures for refusing care or treatment. Elopement is different from both ‘wandering off’ and from leaving Against Medical Advice. When patients elope, they are aware that they should not leave but do so with intent. This may be due to decreased mental capacity, temporary delirium or with intermittent mental status. Patient elopement is not limited to ground vehicles; there have been reports of combative patients attempting to exit the aircraft during flight. To illustrate the type of danger inherent in elopement, a description of an actual incident that occurred during air transport of a patient is provided below.

*A flight team was dispatched to a scene to transport a 19-year-old male involved in a single car MVC. He was reasonably stable but was perseverating likely due to a closed head injury.*

*Upon arrival the team received report from EMS, assessed the patient, who was calm and cooperative, transferred equipment and loaded the patient into the helicopter. Approximately halfway through the flight, the patient became noticeably restless and agitated. He suddenly decided he wanted to exit the aircraft. The flight team attempted to de-escalate the situation but to no avail. The patient wriggled up the backboard and proceeded to grab the flight paramedic by the head and placed her in a headlock insisting they “let [him] get up!” The flight nurse immediately notified the pilot that she was out of her belts as she had to physically restrain the patient. As the wrestling match ensued, she yelled to the pilot, “We need to land- put us down now!!”*

*While trying to restrain the patient, the flight nurse was able to draw up and administer a medication which immediately mitigated the patient’s combativeness. An emergency approach was requested and conducted into a nearby airport where an ambulance met the flight crew who had further medicated/intubated the patient and the transport was completed by ground.*

*Upon follow up with the referring EMS agency, the flight crew learned that the patient had been agitated prior to their arrival and was given Ativan, but this information was not communicated during the handoff. (Krista Haugen, RN, MN, CMTE. Director of Patient Safety & Risk Management, personal Communication, February 2020)*

Most of the literature about elopement is dedicated to its prediction and management in the hospital setting. In the EMS environment, it is very difficult to anticipate which patients may pose a risk for elopement as EMS professionals normally have no prior knowledge of the patient or his/her usual behaviors. The role of EMS in transporting patients between facilities does not lend itself to assessment of the patient as a flight risk, and EMS personnel must depend upon the transferring facility to provide such an assessment. However, this is rarely done. When treating a patient during an emergency response, there is little to no history of the patient’s regular mental condition available and it is unlikely that EMS professionals will have any knowledge of a person’s propensity to elope. Regardless of the location of elopement: ground or air, during a 911 transport, an inter-facility transfer (IFT) or on-scene, when patients unexpectedly decide to remove themselves from either a stationary or moving vehicle, there is considerable risk of injury to both the patient and the caregivers. Despite the extremely high-risk nature of these events, there is little information available regarding best practices or strategies for anticipation of, prevention or management of patient elopement in the out-of-hospital setting.

The Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality Patient Safety Net, 2007 has made the following observations regarding hospital patients.(1) No similar information is available which is specific to EMS.

* Elopement is a serious event that requires a system-wide, organized response.
* Breakdowns in team communication and patient assessment are the top contributors to elopement events.
* Patients should be assessed for elopement risk on admission and throughout their hospitalization.
* Patients at risk for elopement should be put on special preventive precautions.
* Response to elopement by patients with diminished capacity should be immediate and include unit staff, security, and, when appropriate, local authorities.

Some information is available regarding the in-hospital experience of elopement in the psychiatric population, particularly patients with dementia or other psychological conditions, which is important in helping examine the causes of and strategies for prevention of elopement.(2)

While no incidence data are available for EMS elopements, other contributing factors to elopement include patient anxiety and combativeness. For some patients the effects of hypoxia can lead to confusion and possibly aggressive behavior. Likewise, resuscitation efforts, whether from drug overdose or cardiac arrest, may sometimes result in a combative and uncooperative patient.

When transporting patients between facilities, EMS relies on the sending institution to provide the best information available to ensure the safety of the patient and transporting crews. It is believed that many patients who pose an elopement or violence risk are not adequately assessed prior to transport, and that information available about a patient’s propensity toward elopement or violence is not always communicated to the transporting ambulance crews. Adequate documentation of the patient’s mental state, elopement history, voluntary or involuntary commitment status, aggressive demeanor, or level of anxiety, as well as other characteristics that might increase the risk of elopement, should routinely be communicated to the transporting agency when possible. This includes communication from staff at a transporting facility, as well as scenarios in which patients are transferred from one agency to another during transport.

Education should be incorporated into EMT and Paramedic curriculum to address the importance of evaluating patients’ reactions to transport, such as claustrophobia, altered mental status, and other behaviors that could alert the caregiver that combativeness or elopement may be possible. Training should be provided for EMS professionals to help them with identification of situations and conditions that might lead to elopement and to provide strategies for prevention and mitigation such as de-escalation, pre-transport telephonic safety screenings, pre-transport care team huddles, pre-transport restraint or sedation interventions, deployment of purpose engineered countermeasures, the proactive inquiry by the transport team of the sending facility or handing-off EMS crew regarding the patient’s mental status and any concern for, or demonstrated attempt toward elopement, etc.

**Other related Issues**

Multiple areas of medical-legal risk are embedded in the elopement problem, including the patient’s mental competence, ability to consent, the care-giver’s duty to protect and duty to warn, as well as implications surrounding battery and false imprisonment claims by the patient.(3) These issues need to be addressed by EMS agencies and States. Each state has laws defining the procedure for holding patients against their will, and EMS Medical Directors should become familiar with their state’s statutes.(3,4)

The use of restraints, both chemical and physical, comprises a large part of the concern for how to manage expectant elopement. The Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations (JCAHO) has developed resource documents for the use of restraints in the hospital.(5) Both the American College of Emergency Physicians (ACEP),(6) and the National Association of EMS Physicians, (NAEMSP),(7) have published position papers on the use of patient restraints by EMS. Additionally, anecdotal evidence suggests that the term “chemical restraint” may cause a false sense of security for EMS providers, as these modalities are typically “chemical sedation”, as true chemical restraint would require RSI and airway management with ventilation support. The terms chemical restraint and chemical sedation can have varying connotations in different localities. This nomenclature warrants further elucidation.

1. **Analysis**

There is a paucity of information about the scope and severity of patient elopement in EMS other than media reported events which occur on a nearly weekly basis. These events can be experienced in any EMS situation, in any geographic area, Inter-Facility Transport, at the scene or during transport. This is particularly true with the current high number of drug overdose and mental health cases to which EMS responds. While there is information about the causes and mitigation strategies for psychiatric elopement in the hospital setting, more guidance is needed for EMS. Given the high-risk nature of elopement and the injury risk to the patient and to caregivers, an evidence-based approach to mitigating the risk in these situations is advisable not limited to collaborating with local law enforcement agencies.

1. **Strategic Vision**

The incidence of patient elopement from EMS transport vehicles should be reportable on a national basis, and considered a Never Event for EMS. Root cause analysis should be mandatory for elopement events. Education should be included in the National EMS Education Standards Curriculum to ensure that EMS professionals understand the issues surrounding elopement and what they can expect in terms of communication from other caregivers. Inter-facility transports should all include a risk assessment of elopement prior to transfer of the patient.

1. **Strategic Goals**

* EMS agencies across the US will report all elopement episodes to a patient safety organization or other entity which can assist with root cause analysis and mitigation and education strategies. National EMS Education Standards Curriculum should include elopement issues including, legal, and communication concerns and expectations. Research should be conducted to determine best practice. Evidence based measures should be developed to determine countermeasure outcomes.
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